

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION No 212 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----

VISHRAMBHAI VALJIBHAI SURANI

Versus

CHANDULAL VISHRAM PATEL

-----

Appearance:

MR SANDEEP N BHATT for Petitioner  
RULE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent No. 1  
MR SANDIP C SHAH for Respondent No. 3

-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

Date of decision: 09/04/99

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Heard. The petitioners have moved this application for transferring M.A.C. Petition No. 652 of 1992 pending before the Ld. M.A.C. Tribunal, Ahmedabad (Rural) to the Ld. M.A.C. Tribunal, Kutch at Bhuj on the ground that the petitioners-claimants are residents of that district. The respondents nos. 1 and 2 also appear

to be belonging to district Kutch. Respondent no. 3 has its office at Gandhidham, district Kutch. Hence, in view of the facts and circumstances set out in this application, it would be just and convenient to have the matter dealt with by the Ld. M.A.C. Tribunal, Kutch at Bhuj.

2. It is, therefore, directed that M.A.C. Petition No. 652 of 1992 pending before the Ld. M.A.C. Tribunal, Ahmedabad (Rural) shall stand transferred to Ld. M.A.C. Tribunal, District Kutch at Bhuj.

Rule made absolute in the aforesaid terms with no order as to costs.

\* \* \*

PVR.